

PL Evaluation and Typing Systems

Principles of Programming Languages

Colorado School of Mines

<https://lambda.mines.edu>

Evaluating a Programming Language

Evaluation Metrics

Evaluating programming languages based on:

***Writability:** How easy is it to write good code?*

***Readability:** How easy is it to read well written code? Is the language easy enough to learn?*

***Reliability:** What features does the language provide to make sure our code works as it is supposed to?*

***Feasibility:** Does an interpreter or compiler actually exist for the platform we need to use? Is it fast enough for our application?*

Evaluation Metrics

Evaluating programming languages based on:

***Writability:** How easy is it to write good code?*

***Readability:** How easy is it to read well written code? Is the language easy enough to learn?*

***Reliability:** What features does the language provide to make sure our code works as it is supposed to?*

***Feasibility:** Does an interpreter or compiler actually exist for the platform we need to use? Is it fast enough for our application?*

A System of Trade-Offs

Often times, adding features which improve one metric can harm another metric.
Examples to come...

Simplicity

The overall simplicity of a language plays a large role in both writability and readability.

For example, these features are *non-simple*:

- **Feature Multiplicity:** 👍 Writability, 👎 Readability
- **Operator Overloading:** 👍 Writability, 👎 Readability
- **Large Grammars:** 👍 Writability, 👎 Readability

Simplicity

The overall simplicity of a language plays a large role in both writability and readability.

For example, these features are *non-simple*:

- **Feature Multiplicity:** 👍 Writability, 👎 Readability
- **Operator Overloading:** 👍 Writability, 👎 Readability
- **Large Grammars:** 👍 Writability, 👎 Readability

Simplicity can be carried too far

Assembly languages and esoteric languages generally aren't considered very writable or readable.

Orthogonality

Orthogonality: how consistent is the language with itself?

Orthogonality

Orthogonality: how consistent is the language with itself?

Example of a lack of orthogonality (C++)

Parameters are passed by value, unless they were specified with an &. *Or unless they were an array.*

Orthogonality

Orthogonality: how consistent is the language with itself?

Example of a lack of orthogonality (C++)

Parameters are passed by value, unless they were specified with an &.
Or unless they were an array.

Example of a lack of orthogonality (C/C++)

Arrays can contain data of any type, including pointers.
Unless it's a function pointer.
But you can wrap that function pointer in a struct and you should be fine.

Impacts of poor orthogonality: poor readability, poor writability, and potentially reduced reliability.

Abstraction

Abstraction: The ability to define and use complicated structures and operations in a way that allows implementation to be ignored.

Examples:

- **Functions:** Simplest form of abstraction. Often taken for granted, but gives us easy recursion.
- **Heap Memory:** Imagine trying to create a large unbalanced binary tree in a single-dimensional array.
- **Generics:** Allows us to define operations that apply to multiple data types without reimplementing for each type.

Abstraction

Abstraction: The ability to define and use complicated structures and operations in a way that allows implementation to be ignored.

Examples:

- **Functions:** Simplest form of abstraction. Often taken for granted, but gives us easy recursion.
- **Heap Memory:** Imagine trying to create a large unbalanced binary tree in a single-dimensional array.
- **Generics:** Allows us to define operations that apply to multiple data types without reimplementing for each type.

With your learning group...

What other kinds of PL-level abstractions can you name?

Abstraction

Abstraction: The ability to define and use complicated structures and operations in a way that allows implementation to be ignored.

Examples:

- **Functions:** Simplest form of abstraction. Often taken for granted, but gives us easy recursion.
- **Heap Memory:** Imagine trying to create a large unbalanced binary tree in a single-dimensional array.
- **Generics:** Allows us to define operations that apply to multiple data types without reimplementing for each type.

With your learning group...

What other kinds of PL-level abstractions can you name?

Good Abstractions: 👍 Writability, 👍 Readability, 👍 Reliability

Abstraction Downsides?

With your learning group...

- 1 Can abstractions be taken *too* far? If so, give an example?
- 2 Where else do we find abstractions in Computer Science?

Reliability Features

Some languages come with features *designed for reliability*:

- **Type Checking:** Making sure the type of data can be used with the function or operation you are calling. Independent of static/dynamic: more on this later.
- **Exception Handling:** The ability of a running program to intercept run-time errors and take corrective measures.
- **Taint Protection:** Protects the security of an application by not allowing privileged operations to be performed on tainted data (e.g., user input from a web application).

Reliability Features

Some languages come with features *designed for reliability*:

- **Type Checking:** Making sure the type of data can be used with the function or operation you are calling. Independent of static/dynamic: more on this later.
- **Exception Handling:** The ability of a running program to intercept run-time errors and take corrective measures.
- **Taint Protection:** Protects the security of an application by not allowing privileged operations to be performed on tainted data (e.g., user input from a web application).

Some features can *harm* a language's reliability:

- **Goto:** the ability to jump to different locations in the code without restriction.
- **Aliasing:** allows two different symbolic names (variables, function names, etc.) to refer to the same data. Think pointers in C/C++.

Expressivity: How easy is it for the programmer to express their solution to a problem in the language?

With your learning group:

- 1 Think of a scenario and two programming languages, where expressing a solution to the problem might be easier in one language than another.
- 2 If one language is less expressive than another, how might it be less **writable**?
- 3 If one language is less expressive than another, how might it be less **readable**?
- 4 If one language is less expressive than another, how might it be less **reliable**?

Be prepared to share your answers with the class.

Type Systems

Type Systems: Overview

When we refer to "type systems", we aren't just talking about how you have to write the type of a variable in C, whereas you don't in Python. There's a lot that goes in:

- Static/Dynamic Typing
- Untyped Systems
- Implicit/Explicit Type Specification
- Strong/Weak Typing
- Gradual Typing
- Duck Typing -- covered later in the course

Explicit/Implicit

In a language with **explicit type specification**, the type of a variable *must* be specified:

```
int x = 10;
```

In a language with **implicit type specification**, the type of a variable need not be specified:

```
var x = 10
```

Note

Explicit/implicit has nothing to do with static/dynamic. We will talk about that in a second...

A **binding** refers to the association between:

- a variable and its type,
- a function and its definition,
- a type and its representation (e.g., `int` is 32-bits),
- or an operation and its symbol (e.g., multiplication is usually `*`)

A **binding** refers to the association between:

- a variable and its type,
- a function and its definition,
- a type and its representation (e.g., int is 32-bits),
- or an operation and its symbol (e.g., multiplication is usually *)

Binding time refers to the time at which a binding takes place.

Common Binding Times

Design time, implementation time, compile time, link time, run time

Static Typing

In a **statically typed** language, the language design makes it possible to bind the type of any piece of data **before run time**.

Static Typing

In a **statically typed** language, the language design makes it possible to bind the type of any piece of data **before run time**.

Advantages:

- No need to do type checking at run time, this can be done at compile time.
- 👍 Reliability

Disadvantages:

- Generics are needed to create operations and functions that apply to multiple types
- 👎 Writability

Dynamic Typing

In a **dynamically typed** language, the language design makes it possible to bind the type of any piece of data **during run time**.

Commonly, the type of data is associated with the data itself.

Dynamic Typing

In a **dynamically typed** language, the language design makes it possible to bind the type of any piece of data **during run time**.

Commonly, the type of data is associated with the data itself.

Advantages:

- Collections can be of mixed type without generics, functions can take multiple types without generics
- Types can be dynamically created at run time
- 👍 Writability

Disadvantages:

- Type checking must be done at run time; makes things slow
- 👎 Reliability

Gradual Typing

Gradual Typing can be used to refer to a language which allows optional explicit typing in a dynamically typed language

Untyped Systems

In an **untyped** language, data cannot be bound to a type.

Commonly, the functions and operations called on the variables determine the type.

Untyped Systems

In an **untyped** language, data cannot be bound to a type.

Commonly, the functions and operations called on the variables determine the type.

Advantages:

- No need to do type checking, ever.
- 👍 Feasibility

Disadvantages:

- 👎 👎 👎 Reliability

Strongly and Weakly Typed

- **Type safety** means a language will not allow bits to be interpreted as the incorrect data type. For example: treating the bits of an integer as a floating point number.
- **Implicit type conversions** are when a language will automatically convert data types to allow an expression to be computed.
- **Strongly typed** programming languages are both type safe *and* do not allow implicit type conversions.
- **Weakly typed** programming languages are either not type safe *or* allow implicit type conversions.

Note

By definition, untyped languages are also weakly typed.

Exercise: Type Systems 1

Given the code snippet from a fake language below:

```
int a = 10
a += 5
print(a)
```

- Explicit or implicit?
- Is it possible that the language is statically typed?
- Is it possible that the language is dynamically typed?
- Weak or strong?

Exercise: Type Systems 2

Given the code snippet from a fake language below:

```
a = 10
a += 5
print(a)
```

- Explicit or implicit?
- Is it possible that the language is statically typed?
- Is it possible that the language is dynamically typed?
- Weak or strong?

Exercise: Type Systems 3

Given the code snippet from a fake language below:

```
a = eval(user_input())  
a += 5  
print(a)
```

- Explicit or implicit?
- Is it possible that the language is statically typed?
- Is it possible that the language is dynamically typed?
- Weak or strong?

Exercise: Type Systems 4

Given the code snippet from a fake language below:

```
+++++++[>++++[>++>+++>+++>+<<<<-]>+  
>+>->>+[<]<->>.>---.+++++. .+++.>>  
.<-.< .+++ .----- .-----+-----.>>+.>+.
```

- Explicit or implicit?
- Is it possible that the language is statically typed?
- Is it possible that the language is dynamically typed?
- Weak or strong?

Type Systems: Language Examples

	Strong	Weak
Static	Haskell, Rust, Go	C, C++
Dynamic	Python, Ruby, Java	PHP, JavaScript